### Judicial Independence (2015)

### **Objective**

The objective of this updated questionnaire is to collect factual information on structural guarantees for judicial independence, which cover certain guarantees for the independence of judges and for the independence of the judiciary.

The updated judicial independence questionnaire maintains almost all questions from the 2014 questionnaire and is already prefilled with the replies you have provided. If a reply was later adapted following clarifications you have provided, these replies are highlighted. Please review these modifications and feel free to adapt other 2014 replies, if the legislation or practice in your country has changed or if you believe the replies should be clarified. Please highlight any such additional changes red.

New or modified questions are highlighted. These mostly concern questions regarding the composition and powers of Councils (prefilled with your replies in 2014), and the appointment of judges. The questionnaire containing replies could later be published.

### **Respondent's Information**

Member State	Council for the Judiciary
UK Northern Ireland	Judges' Council

### **Additional Information**

For additional information regarding the questionnaire, please contact the Directorate-General for Justice and Consumers of the European Commission.

# 1. AUTHORITIES WITH POWER TO DELIVER THE MAIN DECISIONS ON HUMAN AND FINANCIAL RESOURCES IN THE JUDICIARY<sup>1</sup>

### Which authorities or bodies have the power to deliver the following decisions in the judiciary?

### 1.1. Selection, appointment and dismissal of judges and court presidents

[Please insert an "x" into the box that corresponds to the situation in your country; several answers possible; insert "N/A" when the situation is not applicable in your country;

if relevant, you can additionally insert the following explanations:

"FS" (final selection), "CA" (consultative advice – the body can provide its opinion), "MA" (mandatory advice – the body must provide its opinion, the content of which is either binding or not for the deciding authority), "D" (decision). Please insert "OF" (obligation to follow) if the deciding authority has an obligation, either by law or practice, to follow the proposal to appoint or dismiss a judge.]

- x) decision establishing there is a vacant judicial position
- a) proposal of candidates for the appointment as first or second instance judges
- b) decision on the appointment of a first or second instance judge
- c) proposal for the dismissal of a first or second instance judge
- d) decision on the dismissal of a first or second instance judge
- e) proposal of candidates for the appointment as court presidents
- f) decision on the appointment of a court president
- g) proposal for the dismissal of a court president
- h) decision on the dismissal of a court president

	x)	a)	b)	c)	d)	e)	f)	g)	h)
President of a court:	Х								
Special chamber of a court:									
Higher court:									
Supreme Court:									
Council for the Judiciary <sup>2</sup> :									
Judicial inspection body:									
Other independent body (specify):									
(i) NI Judicial Appointments Commission		MA							
(ii) NI Judicial Appointments Ombudsman				Х					
Ministry/Minister of justice for UK			OF	Х					
Other ministry than min. of justice (specify):									
Parliament:				MA	MA				
Head of state: on advice of Minister			OF	Х	Х				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cf. European Network of Councils for the Judiciary, <u>Resolution of Budapest on Self-Governance for the Judiciary: Balancing Independence and Accountability</u>, May 2008, at 2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Council for the Judiciary is a national institution that is independent of the executive and legislature, or which is autonomous, and that ensures the final responsibility for the support of the judiciary in the independent delivery of justice.

	r (specify): Lord Chief Justice for Northern nd (Head of NI Judiciary)				x	х	х	х	х	x	
1.	.1.1. If any other authority, body or agency judges, please describe its involvement:		olved i	in the	proc	edure	for a	appoi	ntme	nt of	
1.	.1.2. What is the procedure for selecting ca possible]	ndidat	es fo	r beco	ming	judg	<b>es?</b> [s	severa	al ans	wers	
	☑Recruitment through a specific exam for becoming a judge	or a co	ompet	tition,	whic	h incl	udes	a spe	cific e	xam	
	$\square$ Recruitment through a vacancy notice	witho	ut a s	pecifi	c exar	n					
	$\square$ Other (specify):										
1.	.1.3. If a candidate judge is not appointed				_	thori	ty/bo	dy re	quire	d to	
	provide him/her the reasons (e.g. a reasoned explanation)?										
	⊠No However feed-back is provided up	an rea	116ct								
1	.1.4. If a candidate judge is not appointed, candidate judge is not appointed, candidate judge is not appointed, candidate judge is not appointed.	•		nnaal	or ro	auct	2 501	iow?			
1.	∴1.4. If a candidate judge is not appointed, of	all lie/	SIIC a	ppear	UI IE	quest	aicv	icw:			
	□No										
	1.1.4.1. If yes, which authority or body of	lecide	s on s	uch a	n ann	eal/re	eview	,?			
	☐ Council for the Judiciary			0.011 0.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•			
		ifv): Ju	ıdicial	oggA l	ointm	ents C	)mbu	dsma	n		
	☐Court responsible for disciplination	• •								nate,	
	$\square$ Another court / President of ar	other	court								
	$\Box$ Higher court / President of a hi	gher c	ourt								
	☐Administrative court / Presider	nt of th	ie Adr	ninist	rative	Cour	t				
	$\Box$ Supreme Court / President of t	he Sup	reme	Cour	t						
	$\Box$ Constitutional Court / Presiden	t of th	e Con	stitut	ional	Court					
	$\Box$ Other (specify):										
	1.1.4.2. What was the total number of a candidate judges in 2014?	appeal	s or r	eques	ts foi	r a rev	view k	y un	succe	ssful	
	[If only an estimate is available, add "app	rox	." or "	fewer	than	".]					
	All requests for appeal/review:	⊠::	2	. / 🗆 ۱	N/A						
	If possible, specify this number for administrative):	can	didate	e jud <sub>i</sub>	ges i	n dif	feren	t are	eas (	civil,	
	:	□:		/ ⊠N,	/A						
	:	□:		/ ⊠N,	/A						

## 1.2. Selection, appointment and dismissal of <u>Supreme Court judges</u> and the <u>President of the Supreme Court</u>

[Please insert an "x" into the box that corresponds to the situation in your country; several answers possible; insert "N/A" when the situation is not applicable in your country;

if relevant, you can additionally insert the following explanations:

"FS" (final selection), "CA" (consultative advice – the body can provide its opinion), "MA" (mandatory advice – the body must provide its opinion, the content of which is either binding or not for the deciding authority), "D" (decision). Please insert "OF" (obligation to follow) if the deciding authority has an obligation, either by law or practice, to follow the proposal to appoint or dismiss a judge.]

- a) proposal of candidates for the appointment as Supreme Court judges
- b) decision on the appointment of a Supreme Court judge
- c) proposal for the dismissal of a Supreme Court judge
- d) decision on the dismissal of a Supreme Court judge
- e) proposal of the candidate(s) for the appointment of the President of the Supreme Court
- f) decision on the appointment of the President of the Supreme Court
- g) proposal for the dismissal of the President of the Supreme Court
- h) decision on the dismissal of the President of the Supreme Court

	a)	b)	c)	d)	e)	f)	g)	h)
President of a court:			Х				Х	
Special chamber of a court:								
Supreme Court:								
Council for the Judiciary:								
Judicial inspection body:								
Other independent body (specify): Judicial	FS				FS			
Ministry/Minister of justice for GB:		D	Х			D	Х	
Other ministry than min. of justice (specify):								
Parliament:			Х	D				
Head of state: if applicable- on advice of		Х				Х	Х	D
Other (specify): Open competition	Х				Х			

1.2.1. If the procedures mentioned above are different for the judges and/or the President of

3.	of disciplinary	otal number of all ju proceedings or ci illness, resignation,	riminal conviction;	•	_	•	
if c	nly an estimate i	s available, add "app	rox" or "fewer tha	an".]			
	In all courts:	□:/	⊠None				
	If possible, speci	fy this number for ju	dges in different area	as (civil, administr	ative):		
	:	□:/	⊠N/A				

	•	:		□:	/ ⊠N/A						
1.4.	Can a	i judge ap	peal if he	e/she is di	smissed?						
	⊠Yes	S									
	□No										
	1.4.1	. If yes, wl	hich auth	ority or b	ody decide	s on such a	an appe	eal?			
		$\square$ Counci	I for the .	Judiciary							
		□Other i	independ	lent body	(specify):						
			-	ole for dis	sciplinary n	neasures f	or judg	ges (e.g.	disciplin	ary sena	te, civil
		□Anothe	er court /	President	t of anothe	court					
		$\square$ Higher	court / P	resident o	of a higher of	court					
		□Admini	istrative (	court / Pre	esident of t	he Adminis	strative	Court			
		□Supren	ne Court	/ Presider	nt of the Su	preme Cou	urt				
		□Constit	tutional C	Court / Pre	sident of th	ne Constitu	utional (	Court			
		⊠Other (	(specify):	Judicial R	eview						
	I.4. Can a judge appeal if he/she is dismissed?	?									
	[If on	ly an estin	nate is av	/ailable, ad	dd "approx	" or "fev	wer tha	n".]			
		In all cou	rts:		□:/	⊠None					
		If possible	e, specify	this num	ber for judg	ges in diffe	rent are	eas (civil,	adminis	trative)	:
			:		□:/	⊠N/A					
		•••	:		□:/	⊠N/A					

### 1.5. Evaluation, promotion, disciplinary measures and training of judges

[Please insert an "x" into the box that corresponds to the situation in your country; several answers possible; insert "N/A" when the situation is not applicable in your country;

if relevant, you can additionally insert the following explanations:

"FS" (final selection), "CA" (consultative advice – the body can provide its opinion), "MA" (mandatory advice – the body must provide its opinion, the content of which is either binding or not for the deciding authority), "D" (decision). Please insert "OF" (obligation to follow) if the deciding authority has an obligation, either by law or practice, to follow the opinion given.]

- a) decision on the evaluation of a judge
- b) evaluation of the performance management of courts
- c) decision on the promotion of a judge
- d) adoption of ethical standards
- e) application of ethical standards

- f) proposal for the appointment of a member of the disciplinary body for judges
- g) decision on the appointment of a member of the disciplinary body for judges
- h) proposal for a disciplinary decision regarding a judge
- i) disciplinary decision regarding a judge (all bodies issuing disciplinary decisions)
- j) decision on the follow-up to a complaint against the judiciary/a judge
- k) decision on the program/content of training for judges

	a)	b)	c)	d)	e)	f)	g)	h)	i)	j)	k)
President of a court:	N/A										
Special chamber of a court:											
Higher court:											
Supreme Court:											
Council for the Judiciary:											
Judicial inspection body:											
Other independent body (specify): NI Judicial Appointments Commission			N/A								
Ministry/Minister of justice for GB											
Other ministry than min. of justice											
Parliament:											
Head of state: on advice of Minister											
(i) Lord Chief Justice for Northern Ireland (Head of NI Judiciary)		х		х	х	х	х		х	х	
(ii) LCJ and Northern Ireland Judicial Appointments Ombudsman							х				
(iii) Advisory Tribunals								х			
(iv) Judicial Studies Board for NI											Х

### 1.6. Financial resources

### 1.6.1. Authorities and bodies responsible for financial resources

[Please insert an "x" into the box that corresponds to the situation in your country; several answers possible; insert "N/A" when the situation is not applicable in your country; if relevant, you can additionally insert the following explanations:

"FS" (final selection), "CA" (consultative advice – the body can provide its opinion), "MA" (mandatory advice – the body must provide its opinion, the content of which is either binding or not for the deciding authority), "D" (decision). Please insert "OF" (obligation to follow) if the deciding authority has an obligation, either by law or practice, to follow the opinion given]

a)	involvement in the preparation of the "budget allocated to courts" <sup>3</sup>
b)	formal proposal on the budget allocated to courts
c)	adoption of the budget allocated to courts

- d) management of the budget allocated to courts
- e) evaluation/audit of the budget allocated to courts
- f) definition of criteria for determining financial resources (see 1.6.2.)

	a)	b)	c)	d)	e)	f)
President of a court:						
Special chamber of a court:						
Higher court / President of the Higher court:						
Supreme Court / President of the Supreme Court:						
Council for the Judiciary:						
Judicial inspection body:						
Other independent body (specify):						
Ministry/Minister of justice:	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	X
Other ministry than min. of justice (specify):						
Parliament:						
Head of state: if applicable- on advice of						
Other (specify): Lord Chief Justice	Х				Х	

ther (specify): Lord Chief Justice	Х				X		
1.6.2. What are the prescribed methods or criteria for de judiciary? [several answers possible] [Please electron to the corresponding reply (by clicking on it in Micro of difficulties with the checkboxes) by marking rele	onically osoft V	tick th	e checl r Wind	kbox (" ows), o	⊠") ne r (in ca	ext se	
⊠amount based on historic and/or realised costs							
$\square$ number of incoming cases: specify for which inst	ance: [	<b>□1</b> <sup>st</sup> / [	$\Box 2^{nd}$ /	□all / [	□N/A		
$\Box$ number of resolved cases: specify for which instance: $\Box 1^{st} / \Box 2^{nd} / \Box$ all $/ \Box N/A$							
$\square$ number of resolved cases - based on an evaluati	on of t	he cost	for cou	urts			
$\Box$ other (specify):							
1.6.3. Where have these criteria been defined? [several	answe	rs possi	ble]				
□In law							
□Other (specify):							

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> General government total expenditure on COFOG (classification of the functions of government) group 03.30 'Law courts', which includes "financial resources allocated to the "administration, operation or support of civil and criminal law courts and the judicial system, including enforcement of fines and legal settlements imposed by the courts and operation of parole and probation systems; legal representation and advice on behalf of government or on behalf of others provided by government in cash or in services. Includes: administrative tribunals, ombudsmen and the like. Excludes: prison administration." This is National Accounts data currently provided under the ESA95 framework.

### 1.7. Governance of the Judiciary

[Please insert an "x" into the box that corresponds to the situation in your country; several answers possible; insert "N/A" when the situation is not applicable in your country;

if relevant, you can additionally insert the following explanations:

"FS" (final selection), "CA" (consultative advice – the body can provide its opinion), "MA" (mandatory advice – the body must provide its opinion, the content of which is either binding or not for the deciding authority), "D" (decision). Please insert "OF" (obligation to follow) if the deciding authority has an obligation, either by law or practice, to follow the opinion given.]

- a) general management of a court
- b) adopting press guidelines for relations between courts and the media
- c) communicating with the media (e.g. on questions regarding judgments, court functioning)
- d) decisions regarding the implementation and use of Information and Communication Technology in courts
- e) decisions regarding court buildings
- f) decisions regarding court security

	a)	b)	c)	d)	e)	f)
President of a court:		Х		Х		
Higher court / President of the Higher court:						
Supreme Court / President of the Supreme Court:						
Court service governed by the Judiciary:						
Council for the Judiciary:						
Judicial inspection body:						
Other independent body (specify):						
Court service governed by the Ministry of justice:	Х			Х	X	X
Ministry/Minister of justice for Northern Ireland	Х			Х	X	X
Other ministry than min. of justice (specify):						
Other (specify): Lord Chief Justice				х	Х	Х
Judge responsible for media relations						
Press officer at a court			Х			

### 1.7.1. Authorities and bodies responsible for court staff (other than judges)

[Please insert an "x" into the box that corresponds to the situation in your country; several answers possible; insert "N/A" when the situation is not applicable in your country; if relevant, you can additionally insert the following explanations:

"FS" (final selection), "CA" (consultative advice – the body can provide its opinion), "MA" (mandatory advice – the body must provide its opinion, the content of which is either binding or not for the deciding authority), "D" (decision). Please insert "OF" (obligation to follow) if the deciding authority has an obligation, either by law or practice, to follow the opinion given.]

- a) decision regarding the total number of court staff (other than judges) at all courts
- b) decision regarding the number of court staff at particular courts
- c) appointment and dismissal of court staff
- d) decisions regarding the transfer of court staff from one court to another
- e) decisions regarding the promotion/disciplinary matters concerning court staff
- f) other human resource management decisions on court staff (e.g. holidays)

	a)	b)	c)	d)	e)	f)
President of a court:						
Higher court / President of the Higher court:						
Supreme Court / President of the Supreme Court:						
Court service governed by the Judiciary:						
Council for the Judiciary:						
Judicial inspection body:						
Other independent body (specify):						
Court service governed by the Ministry of justice:						
Ministry/Minister of justice:	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	X
Other ministry than min. of justice (specify):						
Other (specify):						

	1.8.1 Composition of the Councils for the Judiciary according to the nomination process										
	Total	Court presidents (ex officio)	Judges (appointed or proposed by their peers)	Judges (elected by their peers)	Prosecutors (elected by their peers)	Prosecutor General (ex officio)	Appointed by associations of lawyers / legal practitioners	Elected/appoi nted by the Parliament	Appointed by the Head of State / Prime Minister / Government / Minister of justice	Minister of justice (ex officio)	Appointed/no minated by other bodies/author ities
BE	44			22				22			
BG	25	2		6	5	1		11			
DK	11		6				1				4
IE	18	5		5			2		3		3
ES	21	1	12					8			
FR	22	1		6	6	1	1	4	2		1
HR	11			7				2			2
IT CSM	27	1		12	4	1		8	1		
IT CPGA	15	1		10				4			
LV	15	2		7		1	3	1		1	
LT	23	3		20							
HU	15	1		14							
MT	10	1		4		1	1		2		1
NL	4		2						2		
PL	25	2		15				6	1	1	
PT	17	1		7				7	2		
RO	19	1		9	5	1		2		1	
SI	11			6				5			
SK	18			9				3	6		
UK (EN +	29	9	19								1
UK (NI)	11		11								
UK (SC)	16	4	12								

	1.8.2. Powers of the Councils for the Judiciary														
	Providing opinion on draft laws relating to the judiciary	Training of judges (providing guidelines/ supervising or deciding on the program/content)	Proposing candidates for appointmen t as judges (courts of first instance)	Appointing judges (1st instance courts)	Proposing dismissal of judges (courts of first instance)	Dismissing judges (courts of first instance)	Transferrin g judges (without their consent)	Taking disciplinary decisions on judges	Adopting ethical standards	Promoting a judge	Advisory body / court manageme nt	Deciding on evaluation of a judge	Decision regarding number of court staff at particular courts	Allocating budget to particular courts	Decisions on implementa tion & use of ICT in courts
BE	1	1	1						1						
BG	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1
DK	1	1									1		1	1	1
IE	1										1		1		1
ES	1	1	1		1		1	1	1	1		1			1
FR	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1					
HR	1	1		1		1	1	1		1		1			
IT	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1			1
LV	1	1			1										
LT	1	1		1		1	1			1	1	1	1	1	1
HU	1	1	1						1	1					
MT	1				1				1			1			
NL	1	1							1	1				1	1
PL	1	1	1		1				1						
PT	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1			
RO	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1		1	1		
SI	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1		1			
SK	1	1	1		1				1	1	-				
UK (EN+ WL)										1	1				
UK (NI)											1				1
UK (SC)											1				

					Λ.
7	DDOCEDIIDEC	AND SANCTIONS	EAD DDATECTING	II IDICIAL I	VIDEDENIDENICE*
	PRULFIJURFA	AIND SAINCH CHAS	FUR PRUITUING	IUIJIUIALI	INDEPENDENCE

2.1.	When a judge or an authority considers that independence of an individual judge or of the judiciary is threatened, are there any specific procedures, other remedies or sanctions <sup>5</sup> for protecting it?					
	□Yes					
	oxtimes No but if such a question was raised it would be possible to bring proceedings before the court.					
	2.1.1. If yes, who can launch such a request or a procedure?					
	$\Box$ A judge who believes his/her independence is threatened					
	☐ President of a court					
$\square$ Judicial inspection body						
	$\square$ Council for the Judiciary					
	$\square$ Other independent body (specify):					
	☐ Public Prosecution Service					
	$\square$ Minister of justice					
	□Other (specify):					
	2.1.2. What was the total number of such complaints in 2014?					
	[If only an estimate is available, add "approx" or "fewer than";					
	Please specify for each authority or body (please add more than two, if necessary)]					
	Complaints from judges: $\square:$ None					
	Complaints from the Council for the Judiciary: $\square$ : / $\boxtimes$ None					
	Complaints from: ☐: / ⊠None					
2.1.3. If yes, which authority or body has the power to react to such complaints from or authorities for protecting judicial independence? [several answers possible]						
	$\square$ Council for the Judiciary					
	$\square$ Other independent body (specify):					
	$\square$ Judicial inspection body					
	□Court					
	☐ President of a court					
	$\square$ Higher court / President of a higher court					
	$\square$ Supreme Court / President of the Supreme Court					
	☐ Public Prosecution Service					
	☐Other (specify):					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Recommendation CM/Rec(2010)12 of the Committee of Ministers to member states on judges: independence, efficiency and responsibilities, paras. 8, 13 and 14. See also European Network of Councils for the Judiciary, Distillation of ENCJ Guidelines, Recommendations and Principles, Report 2012-2-13, para. 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> "Sanctions against persons seeking to influence judges in an improper manner", Recommendation CM/Rec(2010)12 of the Committee of Ministers to member states on judges: independence, efficiency and responsibilities, para. 14.

2.1.4. If yes, what are the measures that these authorities can take on the basis of a request in order to protect judicial independence? What was the total number of such measures in 2014?
[[several answers possible; if only an estimate is available, add "approx" or "fewer than".]
$\square$ Notification to other authorities:, from (specify):
$\square$ Sanctions (criminal, administrative, at first instance) $^6$ : from (specify):
$\square$ Press releases / formal declarations on judicial independence:, from (specify):
☐ Other (specify):, from (specify):
□N/A
3. IMPARTIALITY – WITHDRAWAL AND RECUSAL <sup>7</sup>
3.1. Is a judge obliged to withdraw from adjudicating a case if the judge believes that impartialit is in question or compromised or that there is a reasonable perception of bias?
⊠Yes
□No
3.1.1. If yes, what is the source of the obligation to withdraw from adjudicating a case?
☑A well-established practice of judges
$\square$ Set in an act adopted by a court
$\square$ Set in an act adopted by the Council for the Judiciary
$\square$ Set in an act adopted by the Minister of justice
⊠Set in law
□Other (specify):
3.1.2. If a judge disrespects the obligation to withdraw from adjudicating a case, could th judge be subject to a sanction?
oxtimesYes (sanction could be anything from a reprimand to dismissal).
□No
3.2. Which authority or body takes the first decision on a request for recusal by a party wh
considers that a judge is partial / biased? [several answers possible]
☑The single-judge who is adjudicating in the same case (when a recusal request is directed against this judge)
$\Box$ The panel of judges adjudicating in the same case (when a recusal request is directed against a member of this panel or against the whole panel)
$\square$ Another judge at the same court (e.g. selected on seniority or appointed)
$\square$ A special chamber of the same court

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> "Sanctions against persons seeking to influence judges in an improper manner", Recommendation CM/Rec(2010)12 of the Committee of Ministers to member states on judges: independence, efficiency and responsibilities, para. 14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Recommendation CM/Rec(2010)12 of the Committee of Ministers to member states on judges: independence, efficiency and responsibilities, paras. 59-61. *See also* European Network of Councils for the Judiciary, <u>London declaration on judicial ethics</u>, June 2010; and European Network of Councils for the Judiciary, <u>Judicial Ethics Report</u> 2009-2010

	President of the same court
	$\square$ Another court / President of another court
	☐ Higher court / President of a higher court
	☐ Supreme Court / President of the Supreme Court
	☐Council for the Judiciary
	☐ Other independent body (specify):
	☐Ministry of justice / Minister of justice
	□Other (specify):
	3.2.1. In case a different authority or body decides in different types of proceedings (civil, administrative), please describe the differences and specify for which proceedings the replies under 3.2. refer to:
	s. If available what was the total number of <u>successful</u> recusal challenges by parties in 2014 in which a lack of impartiality or a reasonable perception of bias was established?
[IT (	only an estimate is available, add "approx" or "fewer than".]
	In all courts:     :
	If possible, specify this number for different types of proceedings (civil, administrative):
	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :
	: □:
3.4	. Is an appeal against a decision on a request for recusal possible?
	⊠Yes
	□No
	3.4.1. If yes, which authority or body decides on such an appeal?
	$\square$ Another judge at the same court (e.g. selected on seniority or appointed)
	$\square$ A special chamber of the same court
	$\square$ President of the same court
	☐ Another court / President of another court
	☐ Higher court / President of a higher court
	☐ Supreme Court / President of the Supreme Court
	☐ Council for the Judiciary
	☐ Other independent body (specify):
	☐ Minister of justice
	☐ Other (specify):

3.4.2. What was the total number of appeals against decisions on recusal requests in 2014?
[for example, when a party to the case requested a judge to be recused but this request was rejected, and then this party appealed against the rejection; if only an estimate is available, add "approx" or "fewer than".]
In all courts: □: / ⊠None
If possible, specify this number for different types of proceedings (civil, administrative):
: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :
: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :
4. IRREMOVABILITY - TRANSFER OF JUDGES WITHOUT THEIR CONSENT <sup>8</sup>
4.1. Can a judge be transferred (temporarily or permanently) to another judicial office (to other judicial duties, court or location) without his/her consent?
⊠Yes
□No
<b>4.1.1.</b> If yes, which authority or body decides on a (temporary or permanent) transfer of a judge without his/her consent? [if several authorities are responsible and have different powers depending on the ground for transfer, please write "for disciplinary reasons", "for organisational reasons" or "for other reasons" next to the relevant authority]
☐ Council for the Judiciary
$\square$ Other independent body (specify):
$\square$ Court responsible for disciplinary measures for judges (e.g. disciplinary senate, civil service court)
☐ President of the same court
$\square$ Another court / President of another court
⊠ Higher court / President of a higher court: (Lord Chief Justice)
$\square$ Supreme Court / President of the Supreme Court
$\square$ Constitutional Court / President of the Constitutional Court
☐ Ministry of justice / Minister of justice
☐ Head of state
☐ Other (specify):
<b>4.2. For what reasons can a judge be transferred without his/her consent?</b> [several answers possible]
⊠For disciplinary reasons
☑ For organisational reasons (specify; e.g. closure of a court):
☐ For other reasons (specify):

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Recommendation CM/Rec(2010)12 of the Committee of Ministers to member states on judges: independence, efficiency and responsibilities, para. 52. *See also* European Network of Councils for the Judiciary, Development of Minimal Judicial Standards III, Minimum Standards regarding evaluation of professional performance and irremovability of members of the judiciary, Report 2012-2013, pp. 18-20, 23 (point 4.21.)

4.2.1. At what level are these reasons prescribed?
□ In law
☑Other (specify): Best practice and consultation
4.3. In case a judge is transferred without his/her consent is he/she guaranteed an equivalent post (in terms of a position, salary)?
⊠Yes
□No
4.4. What was the total number of judges transferred without their consent in 2014?
[if only an estimate is available, add "approx" or "fewer than".]
In all courts: □: / ⊠None
If possible, specify this number depending on the reason for transfer without consent:  For disciplinary reasons:
For organisational reasons:
For other reasons:
If possible, specify this number for judges in different areas (civil, administrative):
: : : : : : : : : : N/A
: : : : : : : : : : : : N/A
4.5. Can a judge appeal if he/she is transferred without his/her consent?
⊠Yes – by Judicial Review
□No
4.5.1. If yes, which authority or body decides on such an appeal?
☐ Council for the Judiciary
☐ Other independent body (specify):
$\square$ Court responsible for disciplinary measures for judges (e.g. disciplinary senate, civiservice court)
$\square$ President of the same court
$\square$ Another court / President of another court
⊠ Higher court / President of a higher court
☐ Supreme Court / President of the Supreme Court
$\square$ Constitutional Court / President of the Constitutional Court
☐ Minister of justice
$\square$ Head of state
□Other (specify):
4.5.2. What was the total number of appeals against transfers of judges without their consent in 2014?
[If only an estimate is available, add "approx" or "fewer than".]
In all courts: □: / ⊠None
If possible, specify the number of appeals depending on the reason for transfer withou

	consent:							
	For disciplinary reasons:							
	For organisational reasons:							
	For other reasons:							
	If possible, specify the number of appeals by judges in different areas (civil, administrative):							
	: : : : : : : : : : : : N/A							
	: □:/ □N/A							
5.	ALLOCATION OF CASES <sup>9</sup>							
5.1	. Are the criteria for allocating cases within a court defined?							
	⊠Yes							
	□No							
	<b>5.1.1.</b> If yes, where have these criteria been defined? [several answers possible]							
	☑In well-established practice of the court							
	$\square$ In an act adopted by the court							
	$\square$ In implementing regulations							
	□ In law							
	☐ Other (specify):							
5.2	. How are cases assigned to judges at the first instance courts?							
	☐ President of the court assigns cases							
	⊠A member of the court staff assigns cases (e.g. listing officer)							
	☐ A special chamber of the court assigns cases							
	$\Box$ The cases are assigned randomly (e.g. through a computerized system)							
	oxtimes The cases are assigned according to a pre-defined order (e.g. alphabetic, subject matter)							
	□Other (specify):							
5.3	. Is the allocation of cases subject to supervision (e.g. regular checks of the practice of allocation)?							
	⊠Yes							
	□No							
	5.3.1. If yes, by whom? [several answers possible]							
	⊠By court staff							
	⊠ By the President of the court							
	☐ By the Council for the Judiciary							
	$\square$ By another independent body (specify):							

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Recommendation CM/Rec(2010)12 of the Committee of Ministers to member states on judges: independence, efficiency and responsibilities, para. 24.

☐ By the Judicial inspection body
☐ Other (specify):
5.3.2. In the last five years, has the system for the allocation of cases been subject to a general review or assessment to check for any potential deficiencies?
⊠Yes
□No
<b>5.3.2.1.</b> If yes, what was the follow-up to the findings of such a general review/assessment? [several answers possible]
$\Box$ Changes to the system of allocation of cases
☑ Changes to the practices concerning the allocation of cases
□Other (specify):
6. INTERNAL INDEPENDENCE <sup>10</sup>
6.1. In your system, are there hierarchically superior courts/judges with the power to ensure on their own initiative the uniformity or consistency of judicial decisions delivered by the courts/judges under their supervision (outside of an appeal system, the precedent doctrine or a preliminary ruling system)?
□Yes
⊠No
6.1.1. If yes, which courts/judges have such a power?
☐ Division heads at particular courts
☐ Presidents of the courts
☐ Appeal courts / Presidents of appeal courts
☐ Supreme Court / President of the Supreme Court
□Other (specify):
6.1.2. If yes, what kind of decisions can hierarchically superior courts/judges deliver on their own initiative to ensure the uniformity or consistency of judicial decisions outside of an appeal system or the precedent doctrine?
$\square$ An advisory opinion of general application (for all courts/judges)
$\square$ An obligatory decision of general application (for all courts/judges)
$\square$ An advisory opinion of concrete application (to a specific judicial decision)
$\square$ An obligatory decision of concrete application (to a specific judicial decision)
$\Box$ A practice statement or direction applicable to particular kinds of cases
☐Other (specify):
6.1.3. If yes, what was the total number of such decisions in 2014?
[If only an estimate is available, add "approx" or "fewer than"]
In all courts: $\square:$ / $\square$ N/A

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Recommendation CM/Rec(2010)12 of the Committee of Ministers to member states on judges: independence, efficiency and responsibilities, para. 22.

If possible	e, sp	pecify this number for different types of proceedings (civil, administrative)
	:	□: / □N/A
	:	□: / □N/A